Verification Report

UCR ID: 312

Prepared by

Naturelink Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

Title	**800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.**
Project Owner	**M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.**
Project Location	**Village: Kabarka, Ta.: Bhanvad, Dist.: Devbhumi Dwarka, Gujarat, India.** **Coordinates: 22°01'44.3"N 69°49'53.0"E**
Date	**29/04/2024**

COVER PAGE **Project Verification Report Form (VR)**	
BASIC INFORMATION	
Name of approved UCR Project Verifier / Reference No.	Naturelink Solutions Pvt. Ltd
Type of Accreditation	☐ CDM Accreditation ☐ ISO 14065 Accreditation ☒ UCR Approved Verifier
Approved UCR Scopes and GHG Sectoral scopes for Project Verification	Sectoral Scope: 01 Energy Industries
Validity of UCR approval of Verifier	May - 2022 onwards
Completion date of this VR	29/04/2024
Title of the project activity	800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.
Project reference no. (as provided by UCR Program)	312
Name of Entity requesting verification service	M/s. Creduce Technologies Private Limited (Aggregator) M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. (Project owner)
Contact details of the representative of the Entity, requesting verification service (Focal Point assigned for all communications)	Shailendra Singh Rao (Creduce) shailendra@credcue.tech M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. info@plasmaalloys.com
Country where project is located	India
Applied methodologies	AMS-I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation– Version 18.0
Sectoral Scope(s):	1 Energy industries (renewable - / non-renewable sources)
Project Verification Criteria: Mandatory requirements to be assessed	☒ UCR Verification Standard ☒ Applicable Approved Methodology

	☐ Applicable Legal requirements /rules of the host country ☒ Eligibility of the Project Type ☒ Start date of the Project activity ☒ Meet applicability conditions in the applied methodology ☒ Credible Baseline ☒ Do No Harm Test ☒ Emission Reduction calculations ☒ Monitoring Report ☒ No GHG Double Counting ☐ Others (please mention below)
Project Verification Criteria: Optional requirements to be assessed	☒ Environmental Safeguards Standard and do-no-harm criteria ☐ Social Safeguards Standard do-no-harm criteria
Project Verifier's Confirmation: The *UCR Project Verifier* has verified the UCR project activity and therefore confirms the following:	The UCR-approved verifier Naturelink Solution Pvt. Ltd., verifies the following with respect to the UCR Project Activity "800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd." ☒ The project aggregator has correctly described the project activity in the Project Concept Note/9/ including the applicability of the approved methodology AMS-I.D/4/ and meets the methodology applicability conditions and has achieved the estimated GHG emission reductions, complies with the monitoring methodology and has calculated emission reductions estimates correctly and conservatively. ☒ The project activity is likely to generate GHG emission reductions amounting to the estimated 8882 tCO_2e, as indicated in the monitoring report Version 1.0/10/ & version 2.0/17/, which are additional to the reductions that are likely to occur in the absence of the Project Activity and complies with all applicable UCR rules, including ISO 14064-2 and ISO 14064-3.

	☒ The project activity is not likely to cause any net-harm to the environment and/or society ☒The project activity complies with all the applicable UCR rules and therefore recommends UCR Program register the Project activity with above mentioned labels.
Project Verification Report, reference number and date of approval	Verification Report UCR UCR ID: 312 Version: 1.0 Date: 29/04/2024
Name of the authorised personnel of UCR Project Verifier and his/her signature with date	 Mr. Shyam Mandliya GHG Assessor Naturelink Solution Pvt. Ltd. Date: 29/04/2024

-

Table of Contents

1. Project Verification Report

1.1 Executive Summary

The verification work has been contracted by project aggregator Creduce Technologies Pvt Ltd and M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. to perform an independent verification of its UCR project titled **"800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd." UCR approved project ID:312**, to establish a number of CoUs generated by the project over the crediting period from 30/09/2015 to 31/12/2023 (both days included).

Verification for the period: 30/09/2015 to 31/12/2023

In our opinion, the total GHG emission reductions over the crediting / verification period stated in the Monitoring Report (MR) Version 2.0/17/, submitted are found to be correct and in line with the UCR guidelines. The GHG emission reductions were calculated on the basis of UCR guideline which draws reference from, the standard baseline, AMS-I. D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation– Version 18.0/4/. The verification was done remotely by way of video calls, and submission of documents for verification through emails.

It is certified that the emission reductions from the "800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. (UCR ID – 312)" for the period 30/09/2015 to 31/12/2023 amounts to **8882** CoUs (**8882 tCO_2e**).

Objective

The objective of this verification is to have an independent third-party assessment of whether the project activity conforms to the qualification criteria set out in the UCR Program Manual/1/, UCR CoU Standard/2/ and UCR verification standard/3/ to attain real, measurable, accurate and permanent emission reductions.

Scope

The scope of the verification is the independent, objective review and ex-post determination of the monitored reductions in GHG emission by the project activity.

1. To verify the project implementation and operation with respect to the registered PCN/9/.
2. To verify the implemented monitoring plan with the registered PCN/9/ applied baseline and monitoring methodology/4/.
3. To verify that the actual monitoring systems and procedures follow the monitoring plan.
4. To evaluate the GHG emission reduction data and express a conclusion whether the reported GHG emission reduction data is free from material misstatement.
5. To verify that reported GHG emission data is sufficiently supported by evidence.
6. Agreement stating assurance to avoid double accounting/8/ for the project to be verified, along with required proof.

The project is assessed against the requirements of the UCR Program Manual/1/, UCR CoU Standard/2/ and UCR verification standard/3/, ISO 14064-2.

Due professional care has been exercised and ethical conduct has been followed by the assessment team during the verification process. The verification report is a fair presentation

of the verification activity. The validation of the project is not part of the present assignment and project is deemed validated post-registration by UCR.

1.2 Description of the Project

The project activity is a renewable power generation activity which incorporates installation and operation of Wind Turbine Generator (WTGs) having capacity of 800 kW manufactured and supplied Wind World in district Devbhumi Dwarka of the state of Gujarat in India. A wheeling agreement/12/ is signed between PP and Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited (PGVCL) i.e., state discom. This project has been promoted by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. Hence, project activity is displacing the gross electricity generation i.e., 9873 MWh from the NEWNE grid, which otherwise would have been imported from the NEWNE grid.

The WTG under the project activity was commissioned by the Gujarat Energy Development Agency (GEDA), Government of Gujarat, India.

Technical details for the turbine installed at Devbhumi Dwarka with a capacity of 800 kW manufactured by Wind World, are as follows:

The technical specification is listed below;

Parameter	WTG ID No. WWIL/800/15-16/3675 Installed at Devbhumi Dwarka	
Turbine Model	Wind World (WW-53)	
Operating data	Rated power	800 kW
	Cut-in Wind speed	3 m/s
	Rated wind speed	12 m/s
	Cut-out wind speed	24-28 m/s
Rotor	Rotor Diameter	52.9 m
Generator	Type	Synchronous generator
Tower	Hub height	74 m (concrete)
Orientation	Upwind	
No. of Blades	3	
Blade material	Fibre glass, Epoxy reinforced	

As mentioned in the monitoring report (MR) Version 2.0/17/ and emission reduction calculation sheet Version 2.0/18/ submitted for verification, the project replaces anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases (GHGs) estimated to be 8882 tCO_2e for the verification period, there on displacing 9873 MWh amount of electricity from the generation of fossil-fuel based power plants connected to the Indian electricity grid.

The project activity uses kinetic energy of wind to generate electricity by installation of the wind turbine generators having a capacity of 800 kW. The project is a small-scale activity. The methodology applied in the monitoring report is verified against the AMS-I. D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation - Version 18.0/4/ total emission reductions (ERs) achieved through the project activity during the monitoring period is summarised below:

Summary of the Project Activity and ERs Generated for the Monitoring Period	
Project start date	30/09/2015
Start date of this Monitoring Period	30/09/2015
Carbon credits claimed up to	31/12/2023
Total ERs generated (tCO_2e)	8882
Leakage Emission	0
Project Emission	0

1.3 Project Verification team, technical reviewer and approver:

1.3.1 Project verification team

Sr. No.	Role	Last name	First name	Affiliation	Involvement in		
					Doc review	Remote inspection	Interviews
1.	Team Leader	Mandliya	Shyam	Naturelink Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	Technical Expert	Prajapati	Divya	Naturelink Solutions Pvt. Ltd.	Yes	Yes	Yes

1.3.2 Technical Reviewer of the Verification report

Sr. No.	Role	Type of resource	Last name	First name	Affiliation
1.	Internal Technical Reviewer	IR	Amin	Shardul	Naturelink Solutions Pvt. Ltd.

2 Verification Process

2.1 Desk/document review

The desk review was conducted by the verification team that included:

- A review of data and information presented to assess its completeness
- A review of the initial PCN/9/, MR Version 1.0/10/ & version 2.0/17/, emission reduction calculation sheet Version 2.0/18/, Methodology - AMS-I.D V 18.0/4/.
- A cross-check between information provided in the monitoring report Version 1.0/10/ & Version 2.0/17/ and data from other sources such as certificate of share of electricity generated by wind farm/13/, purchase invoices/16/ or similar data sources;
- A review of calculations and assumptions made in determining the GHG data and emission reductions calculation Version 2.0/18/;

The list of submitted documents is available in a subsequent section of this verification report under the appendix - 2 “Document reviewed or referenced”.

2.2 Remote Inspection

As per the UCR Verification standard version 2.0, the verification team conducted remote inspection of project activity via video conferencing on 25/04/2024 as mentioned in the below table.

Date of remote inspection:	25/04/2024			
No.	**Activity performed During remote inspection**	**Site location**	**Date**	**Project Personnel**
1.	Opening meeting	Project location	25/04/2024	Mr. Kashyap Trivedi, Associate Consultant, CTPL
2.	Remote inspection of all installation	Project location	25/04/2024	Mr. Naran Nandania, Director, Nandania & Consultancy
3.	Closing meeting	Project location	25/04/2024	Mr. Kashyap Trivedi, Associate Consultant, CTPL and Mr. Naran Nandania, Director, Nandania & Consultancy

The following parameters were assessed but not limited to:

- An assessment of the implementation and operation of the registered project activity as per the registered PCN/9/;
- A review of information flows for generating, aggregating, and reporting the monitoring parameters;
- Interviews with relevant personnel to determine whether the operational and data collection procedures are implemented in accordance with the monitoring plan in the PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/ & version 2.0/17/;
- A cross-check of the monitoring equipment including observations of monitoring practices against the requirements of the PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/ & version 2.0/17/ and selected methodology/4/;
- An identification of quality control and quality assurance procedures in place to prevent or identify and correct any errors or omissions in the reported monitoring parameters.

2.3 Interviews

No.	Interview			Date	Subject
	Last name	First name	Affiliation		
1.	Sabhaya	Rishi	Business Development - Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.	25/04/2024	Legal ownership of the project, Implementation of the project, Start date and crediting period, Double counting of the carbon credits, Project boundary, Monitoring plan
2.	Nandania	Naran	Director - Nandania & Consulatancy	25/04/2024	Wind turbine specification and connections, energy meter readings, transformer specification, flow of energy generation and reporting of energy generation details, transmission line details
3.	Trivedi	Kashyap	Associate Consultant – Creduce Technologies Pvt. Ltd.	25/04/2024	Project Overview, PCN, Monitoring Report, Methodology, eligibility criteria, Baseline emissions, Emission Reduction Calculation

2.4 Clarification request (CLs), corrective action request (CARs) and forward action request (FARs) raised

Areas of Project Verification findings	No. of CL	No. of CAR	No. of FAR
Green House Gas (GHG)			
Identification and Eligibility of project type	NIL	01	NIL
General description of project activity	NIL	NIL	NIL
Application and selection of methodologies and standardized baselines	--	--	--
• Application of methodologies and standardized baselines	NIL	NIL	NIL

• Deviation from methodology and/or methodological tool	NIL	NIL	NIL
• Clarification on applicability of methodology, tool and/or standardized baseline	NIL	NIL	NIL
• Project boundary, sources and GHGs	NIL	NIL	NIL
• Baseline scenario	NIL	NIL	NIL
• Estimation of emission reductions or net anthropogenic removals	NIL	01	NIL
• Monitoring Report	NIL	NIL	NIL
Start date, crediting period and duration	NIL	01	NIL
Environmental impacts	NIL	01	NIL
Project Owner- Identification and communication	NIL	NIL	NIL
Others (please specify)	01	NIL	NIL
Total	01	04	NIL

3 Project Verification findings

3.1 Identification and eligibility of project type

Means of Project Verification	The project is eligible as per UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance Version 6.0/2/ which is acceptable since the project has not been registered under any other GHG program and the project activity is commissioned on 30/09/2015. The commissioning certificate/14/ of the wind turbine provided by GEDA has been verified in this regard. Prior to the commencement of the project activity, the project owner got approval for the installation and operation of wind turbine generator from state energy development agency (GEDA) in the district of Devbhumi Dwarka, Gujarat and PO have signed wheeling agreement/12/ with Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Ltd (PGVCL). The project also delivers real, measurable and additional emission reduction of 8882 tCO_2e over the crediting period Project applies an approved CDM monitoring and baseline methodology AMS-I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation - Version 18.0./4/
Findings	CAR 01 was raised
Conclusion	The project is eligible as per the requirements of the UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance Version 6.0/2/. Further project verification team cross checked the other GHG programmes like Clean Development Mechanism (CDM) Registry, VERRA Registry, Gold Standard (GS) Registry for the information regarding the consistency of the title of the project activity. GPS coordinates, Legal Ownership of the project activity and confirmed that the project was not submitted or registered under any other GHG programmes and non-voluntary non-GHG Programs.

3.2 General description of project activity

Means of Project Verification	The purpose of the project activity is to harnesses wind kinetic energy to generate electricity which would be used to meet the electrical demand of PO. The project activity has installed 800 kW capacity of Wind turbine generator and applied AMS-I.D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation– Version 18.0/4/ falls into the small-scale category as per applied CDM methodology. A wheeling agreement/12/ is signed between M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. and PGVCL for the captive consumption of electricity generated by wind turbine generator. The project activity generated total 9873 MWh electricity and displacing 8882 tCO_2e.

	The project activity involves setting up of a new WTG to harness the wind energy and use it for captive consumption i.e., the Indian grid system through wheeling arrangement. In the absence of the project activity, the equivalent amount of power would have been generated by the operation of grid-connected fossil fuel-based power plants and by the addition of new fossil fuel-based generation sources into the grid. The Location details has been verified during the online assessment and geo coordinates verified through google earth/maps. The technical specification mentioned in the PCN/9/ is verified against the technical specification/15/ provided by Wind world.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The description of the project activity is verified to be true based on the review of PCN/9/, MR Version 1.0/10/, MR Version 2.0/17/, Commissioning Certificate/14/, Technical specification/15/ and Purchase invoice copies/16/ of wind power plant components.

3.3 Application and selection of methodologies and standardized baselines

3.3.1 Application of methodology and standardized baselines

Means of Project Verification	The project activity applied AMS-I. D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation– Version 18.0/4/ falls into the small-scale category as per CDM methodology. Standardized baseline is “In the absence of the project activity, the equivalent amount of electricity would have been imported from the grid (which is connected to the unified Indian Grid system (NEWNE Grid)), which is carbon intensive due to being predominantly sourced from fossil fuel-based power plants” which is as per the project activity and clearly mentioned in PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/ and Version 2.0/17/.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The methodology applied is appropriately meeting the requirements of UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/2/, standardized baseline. The methodology version is correct and valid. The referenced methodology is applicable to project activity.

3.3.2 Clarification on applicability of methodology, tool, and/or standardized baseline

Means of Project Verification	Applicability as per AMS-I. D version 18.0	Verifier assessment
	1. This methodology comprises renewable energy generation units, such as photovoltaic, hydro, tidal/wave, wind, geothermal and renewable biomass: a. Supplying electricity to a national or a regional grid; or b. Supplying electricity to an identified consumer facility via national/regional grid through a contractual arrangement such as wheeling.	The project activity "800 kW Wind Power Project in Gujarat by M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd." which incorporates installation and operation Wind turbine generator for captive consumption. b) the project owner has signed a wheeling agreement/12/ with PGVCL to supply the electricity generated by wind power plant. This was confirmed during the online assessment and through document review of wheeling agreement and certificate for share of electricity generated by wind farm.
	2. This methodology is applicable to project activities that: a. Install a greenfield plant; b. Involve a capacity addition in (an) existing plant(s); c. Involve a retrofit of (an) existing plant(s); d. Involve a rehabilitation of (an) existing plant(s)/ unit(s); or e. Involve a replacement of (an) existing plant(s).	The project is green field plant and involves installation and generation of electricity from 800 kW capacity of Wind turbine generator connected to the Indian national grid. The electricity generated from project activity is exported to the Indian national grid, there by displacing electricity from the grid which would have otherwise been generated by operation of grid connected power plants and by addition of new generation sources into the grid. The project activity generates 9873 MWh of electricity and displaces 8882 tCO_2e.
	3. Hydro power plants with reservoirs that satisfy at least one of the following conditions are eligible to apply this methodology: a. The project activity is implemented in an existing reservoir with no change in the volume of reservoir; b. The project activity is implemented in an existing reservoir, where the volume of reservoir is increased and the	This criterion is not applicable as the project activity is the installation and operation of Wind turbine power plant to generate electricity.

	power density of the project activity, as per definitions given in the project emissions section, is greater than 4 W/m2. c. The project activity results in new reservoirs and the power density of the power plant, as per definitions given in the project emissions section, is grated than 4 W/m^2	
	4. If the new unit has both renewable and non-renewable components (e.g., a wind/diesel unit), the eligibility limit of 15 MW for a small-scale CDM project activity applies only to the renewable component. If the new unit co-fires fossil fuel, the capacity of the entire unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.	The project activity is a 800 kW wind power project, i.e., the only component is a renewable power project below 15 MW, thus the criterion is not applicable to this project activity.
	5. Combined heat and power (co-generation) systems are not eligible under this category.	The project activity does not involve co-generation. Hence this criterion is not applicable.
	6. In the case of project activities that involve the capacity addition of renewable energy generation units at an existing renewable power generation facility, the added capacity of the units added by the project should be lower than 15 MW and should be physically distinct6 from the existing units.	No capacity addition in the existing renewable plant. This is new installation of wind power plant which was verified and confirmed through online assessment and interviews with project owner and their representatives.
	7. In the case of retrofit or replacement, to qualify as a small-scale project, the total output of the retrofitted or replacement unit shall not exceed the limit of 15 MW.	There is no retrofit or replacement in the project activity, hence it is not applicable.
	8. In the case of landfill gas, waste gas, wastewater treatment and agro-industries projects, recovered methane emissions are eligible under a relevant Type III category. If the recovered methane is used for electricity generation for supply to a grid, then the baseline for the electricity component shall be in accordance with procedure prescribed under this methodology. If the recovered methane is used for heat	The project activity is a greenfield 800 kW wind power project; hence, this criterion is not applicable to this project activity.

	generation or cogeneration other applicable Type-I methodologies such as "AMS-I.C.: Thermal energy production with or without electricity" shall be explored.	
	9. In case biomass is sourced from dedicate plantations, the applicability criteria in the tool "Project emissions from cultivation of biomass" shall apply.	The project activity is new greenfield activity of wind power plant and does not involve biomass, hence this criterion is not applicable.
Findings	No finding was raised	
Conclusion	The verification team confirms that all the applicability criteria set by the applied CDM methodology/4/ and its eligible tools are met. The relevant information against those criteria is also included in the PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/, MR Version 2.0/17/. The selected CDM methodology for the project activity is applicable.	

3.3.3 Project boundary, sources and GHGs

Means of Project Verification	As per the applied methodology AMS-I. D version 18.0/4/, the spatial extent of the project boundary includes industrial, commercial facilities consuming energy generated by the system. The components of the project boundary mentioned in the section B.4 of PCN/9/ were verified against the para 18 of the applied methodology. The project verification team conducted desk review of the implemented project to confirm the appropriateness of the project boundary identified and GHG sources required by the methodology have been included within the project boundary. The project location is clearly depicted with the help of a pictorial depiction in section A.3. of the PCN/9/ and duly verified by the project verification team via geographical coordinates, commissioning certificate/14/ of the project activity & wheeling agreement/12/ between M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. and PGVCL.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The project verification team was able to assess that complete information regarding the project boundary has been provided in PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/, MR Version 2.0/17/, commissioning certificate/14/, geographical coordinates and wheeling agreement/12/. The project verification team confirms that the identified boundary is relevant and all emissions sources are included in the project activity.

3.3.4 Baseline scenario

Means of Project Verification	The baseline scenario as per paragraph 19 of the applied methodology, prescribed the baseline scenario of the project activity. In the absence of the project activity, the users would have been supplied electricity from the national grid. As per the UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/2/; "The project owner has opted UCR recommended emission factor of 0.9 tCO_2/MWh for the 2013-2020 years as a fairly conservative estimate for Indian projects not previously verified under any GHG program. Emission factors for the post 2020 period is to be selected as the most conservative estimate between the national electricity/power authority published data set and UCR default of 0.9 tCO_2/MWh".
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The project verification team concluded that the identified baseline scenario reasonably represents what would occur in the absence of the project activity. The calculated baseline emission for each vintage year of crediting period is rounded down as per UCR CoU verification standard /3/.

3.3.5 Estimation of emission reductions or net anthropogenic removal

Means of Project Verification	The project verification team checked whether the equations and parameters used to calculate GHG emission reductions or net anthropogenic GHG removals for PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/, MR Version 2.0/17/ is in accordance with applied methodology. Project Verification team checked section B.5 and C.5.1 of the PCN/9/, MR Version 1.0/10/, MR Version 2.0/17/ respectively to confirm whether all formulae to calculate baseline emissions, project emission and leakage have been applied in line with the underlying methodology. The emission reduction calculation has been carried out as per the CDM SSC methodology AMS-I.D, Version 18.0/4/. $BE_y = EG_{BLy} X EF_{CO2,y}$ Where, BE_y = Baseline Emissions in year y; tCO_2 EG_{BLy}= Quantity of net electricity displaced as a result of the implementation of the CDM project activity in year y (MWh) $EF_{CO2,y}$ = Combined margin CO_2 emission factor for grid connected power generation in year y. Project emissions:

As per paragraph 25 of the applied methodology, For most renewable energy project activities, $PE_y = 0$. Since Wind power is a GHG emission free source of energy project emission considered as Zero for the project activity

Leakage Emissions:

As per the paragraph 29 of the applied methodology AMS-I.D Version 18.0, there are no emissions related to leakage in this project.

Emission reductions

As per Paragraph 30 of the applied methodology, emission reductions are calculated as follows

$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y$

Where:

ER_y = Emission reductions in year y (tCO_2)

BE_y = Baseline Emissions in year y ($t\ CO_2$)

PE_y = Project emissions in year y ($t\ CO_2$)

LE_y = Leakage emissions in year y ($t\ CO_2$)

Year	Electricity generated (MWh)	Emission factor (tCO_2/MWh)	Total Emission reduction (tCO_2e)
2015	236.065	0.9	212
2016	1248.115	0.9	1123
2017	1325.247	0.9	1192
2018	1270.749	0.9	1143
2019	1447.937	0.9	1303
2020	1080.077	0.9	972
2021	1152.542	0.9	1037
2022	1018.545	0.9	916
2023	1094.273	0.9	984
Total	9873	0.9	8882

Findings

CAR 02 was raised.

Conclusion

The combined margin emission factor as per "CO_2 Baseline Database for the Indian Power Sector" current version 18, December 2022 by CEA/6/ is 0.918 tCO_2/MWh which results into higher emission factor than the UCR recommended emission factor of 0.9 tCO_2/MWh; Hence for 2015-2023 vintage UCR default emission factor remains conservative as per UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/2/.

Project Verification team confirm that the algorithms and formulae proposed to calculate project emissions, baseline emissions, leakage and emission reductions in the PCN/9/ and MR Version

	2.0/17/ is in line with the requirements of the selected methodology AMS-I.D, version 18.0/4/ For emission reduction calculation, the assessment team confirms that; All assumptions and data used by the project participants are listed in the PCN/9/ and MR Version 2.0/17/ including their references and sources. All documentation used by project participants as the basis for assumptions and source of data is correctly quoted and interpreted in the PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/ & MR Version 2.0/17/. The baseline methodology and the applicable tool(s) have been applied correctly to calculate project emissions, baseline emissions, leakage and emission reductions.

3.3.6 Monitoring Report

Means of Project Verification	The monitoring report Version 1.0/10/ & Version 2.0/17/ submitted by the PP has been verified thoroughly and is in compliance with the applicable methodology and UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/3/ for calculation of GHG emission reductions. The assessment team has reviewed all the parameters in the monitoring plan against the requirements of the applied methodology and confirmed that monitoring parameters are applied in line with the requirement of the methodology and relevant in the context of the program. The procedures have been reviewed by the assessment team through document review, interviews with the respective monitoring personnel and online assessment. Monitoring methodology and data management were also discussed with project owner. A Supervisory Control & Data Acquisition System (SCADA) provides a graphical representation of data providing ease to understand the behaviour of WTG, long time data storage facility, access to daily generation report The Net electricity generation by the WTG is recorded at the sub-station. At the end of every month, SLDC Certificate is generated based on the total monthly electricity exported to the grid.
Findings	No finding was raised
Conclusion	The project verification team confirms that, The monitoring report Version 1.0/10/ & Version 2.0/17/ is in compliance with the applicable methodology and UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/3/.

	The monitoring parameters reported in PCN/9/ and MR Version 2.0/17/ adequately represents the parameters relevant to emission reduction calculation. The number of CoUs generation is calculated based on accurately reported data. The calculation was done using an excel sheet where all the parameters were reported. UCR recommended emission factor for electricity generation is opted which is conservative. In the MR Version 2.0/17/, emission reduction calculations sheet Version 2.0/18/ are correctly calculated and reported. The monitoring report Version 2.0/17/ meets the requirements of UCR project verification requirements.

3.4 Start date, crediting period and duration

Means of Project Verification	The Commissioning certificate/14/ of the installation of the project activity and crediting period has been verified as per PCN/9/ and MR Version 1.0/10/ & Version 2.0/17/.
Findings	CAR 03 was raised.
Conclusion	The wind turbine was commissioned on 30/09/2015 by the GEDA official and hence, the start date of crediting period is 30/09/2015 which is verified from the commissioning certificate/14/. The crediting period is also appropriate as per UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/2/.

3.5 Environmental impacts and safeguard assessment

Means of Project Verification	As The guidelines on Environmental Impact Assessment have been published by Ministry of Environment, Forests and Climate Change (MoEF&CC), Government of India (GOI) under Environmental Impact Assessment notification dated 14/09/2006. Further amendments to the notification have been done, The Wind Power projects up to 25 MW are listed in white category, hence, No EIA required. The impact of the project activity on the environmental safeguards has been carried out. Out of all the safeguards no risks were identified to the environment due to the project implementation and operation. And the following have been indicated as positive impacts: Environment Air - CO_2 emissions: The project activity being renewable power generation avoids CO_2 emissions that would have occurred in baseline scenario due to the electricity generation in thermal power plants. Environment - Natural Resources: Replacing fossil fuels with renewable sources of energy.

	Impacts identified as 'Harmless': Solid waste Pollution: - Any Solid-waste if generated from the plant shall be discarded in accordance with host country regulation. The parameter is being monitored as 'Project Waste' and Proper mitigation action has been implemented for waste management. Land use: since the wind power plant does not require larger area, there is no significant damage to land. Emission due to transportation of wind components: The emissions associated with the transport of the modules are insignificant compare to manufacturing facilities. Solid waste Pollution from end-of-life products equipment: - Waste generated from the plant.
Findings	CAR 04 was raised.
Conclusion	The project activity displaces fossil fuel consumption and provides affordable and clean energy. The project has also avoided total 8882 tCO_2e, hence it has positive impact. It is confirmed that there is no EIA is required as per host country rule mentioned in the CPCB letter/19/.

3.6 Project Owner- Identification and communication

Means of Project Verification	The information and contact details of the project owner has been appropriately incorporated in the PCN/9/ and MR Version/10/ & MR Version 2.0/17/. The legal owner of the project activity has been identified through the commissioning certificate/14/, wheeling agreement/12/, and purchase invoices/16/ issued by equipment suppliers.
Findings	No findings raised.
Conclusion	The project verification team confirms that the legal ownership of the project belongs to M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.

3.7 Others (Double Counting of Credits)

Means of Project Verification	The project activity was searched on other GHG programs to ensure that project is not registered in any other GHG programs like VERRA, Gold standard, GCC. An agreement stating that project activity will not cause double counting of the credits is also checked as per

	clause 1.8, Universal Carbon Registry Program Manual (Ver 4.0) August 2022/1/.
Findings	CL 01 was raised
Conclusion	Double accounting agreement/9/ is signed between PO and Aggregator and found to appropriate as per clause 1.8, Universal Carbon Registry Program Manual (Ver 4.0) August 2022/1/.

4 Internal quality control:

- Due professional care has been taken while reviewing the submitted document.
- There is no conflict of interest as the verifier has no other engagement with either the aggregator or project owner directly or indirectly.
- Verification team consists of experienced personnel.
- Technical review is performed by an independent person.

5 Project Verification opinion:

The project verification was conducted on the basis of UCR Program Manual/1/, UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance/2/, UCR Verification standard /3/, AMS -I.D. Version 18.0 /4/, Wheeling agreement/12/, Purchase invoice/16/, Commissioning Certificate/14/, Project Concept Note (PCN)/9/, Monitoring Report (MR) Version 2.0/17/ and documents mentioned in Appendix-2.

Verification team raised 01 Nos. of Clarification Requests (CLs) and 04 Nos. of Clarification Action Request and all the queries were closed satisfactorily.

It is hence certified with reasonable level of assurance that the emission reductions from the project Wind Power Project by M/s Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd. (UCR ID – 312) for the period 30/09/2015 to 31/12/2023 amounts to **8882** CoUs (8882 tCO_2e) as per the UCR Verification standard /3/.

6 Competence of team members and technical reviewers

No.	Last name	First name	Role and Affiliation	Technical Competence
1.	Mandliya	Shyam	Team Leader - NSPL	Mr. Shyam Mandliya holds master's degree in Chemical Engineering. He has expertise in environmental audits. He has performed environmental monitoring of different industries in Gujarat for air, water, and hazardous waste. He has also contributed to the community-based biogas project development.
2.	Amin	Shardul	Technical Reviewer - NSPL	Mr. Shardul Amin holds M.Tech degree in Thermal System Design. He has more than 7 years of experience in the field of waste-to-energy, thermochemical conversion technologies, and emission study. He is experienced GHG Auditor and has verified more than 50 emission reduction projects.
3.	Prajapati	Divya	Technical Expert - NSPL	Ms. Divya Prajapati is having M. Tech. In Environmental Engineering. She is experienced in performing environmental impact assessments of various industries. She has also conducted Environmental Audit of CETP and TSDF sites and quantified GHG emissions from Solid Waste Disposal sites.

Appendix 1: Abbreviations

Abbreviations	Full texts
CAR	Corrective Action Request
CDM	Clean Development Mechanism
CEA	Central Electricity Authority
CL	Clarification Request
COD	Commercial Operation Date
CoUs	Carbon offset Units
CPCB	Central Pollution Control Board
DAA	Avoidance of Double Accounting Agreement
ER	Emission Reduction
FAR	Forward Action Request
GEDA	Gujarat Energy Development Agency
GETCO	Gujarat Energy Transmission Corporation Limited
GHG	Green House Gas
kW	Kilo-Watt
kWh	Kilo-Watt Hour
MR	Monitoring report
MW	Mega-Watt
MWh	Mega-Watt Hour
NSPL	Naturelink Solutions Private Limited
PA/ PP	Project Aggregator / Project Proponent
PCN	Project Concept Note
PGVCL	Paschim Gujarat Vij Company Limited.
PO	Project Owner
PPA	Power Purchase Agreement
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
SLDC	State Load Dispatch Centre
tCO_2e	Tons of Carbon Dioxide Equivalent
UCR	Universal Carbon Registry
VR	Verification Report
VS	Verification Statement

Appendix 2: Document reviewed or referenced

No.	Author	Title	References to the document	Provider
1.	UCR	UCR Program Manual	Version 4.0, August 2022	UCR website
2.	UCR	UCR General project eligibility criteria and guidance (CoU Standard)	Version 6.0, August 2022	UCR website
3.	UCR	UCR Program Verification standard	Version 2.0, August 2022	UCR website
4.	CDM	AMS-I. D: Grid connected renewable electricity generation	Version 18.0	CDM website
5.	CEA	Central Electricity Authority (Installation and Operation of Meters) (Amendment) Regulations, 2019	Dated 23/12/2019	-
6.	CEA	CO_2 baseline database for the Indian Power sector	Version 18.0 dated December 2022	-
7.	PA	Communication agreement between PP and PO	Dated 09/05/2022	PA
8.	Creduce	Assurance to avoid double accounting by project owners	Double accounting agreement signed on 29/03/2024	PA
9.	Creduce	Project concept note	Version 1.0, dated 14/03/2023	PA
10.	Creduce	Monitoring report	Version 1.0, dated 22/03/2024	PA
11.	Creduce	Emission reduction excel – “800 kW Wind Power Project by M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd.”	Version 1.0, dated 22/03/2024	PA
12.	PGVCL & PO	Wheeling agreement	Dated 24/09/2015	PA
13.	GETCO	Certificate for share of electricity generated by the wind farm	-	PA
14.	GEDA	Certificate of Commissioning date (30/09/2015)	WWIL/800/15-16/3675 dated 08/10/2015	PA
15.	Wind World (India) Limited	Technical specification (DPR) of 800 kW WTG	WWIL/SRJ/2015-16/06 dated 06/04/2015	PA

16.	Wind World (India) Limited	Purchase Invoices of Windmill device and its components	Purchase invoice no. 214754456 for Order no. PAPL-WWIL/2015-16/2906201501-2-3-4 dated 29/06/2015	PA
17.	Creduce	Monitoring report	Version 2.0 dated 30/03/2024	PA
18.	Creduce	Emission reduction excel – "800 kW Wind Power Project by M/s. Plasma Alloys Pvt. Ltd."	Version 2.0, dated 30/03/2024	PA
19.	CPCB	CPCB	CPCB letter F.No.B-29012/IPC-VI/2017-18/ date 17/11/2017	-

Appendix 3: Clarification request, corrective action request and forward action request

Table 1. CLs from this Project Verification

CL ID	01	**Section no.:** 3.7	Other (Double Counting of Credits)	**Date:** 28/03/2024
Description of CL				
Document stating that the project activity will not cause double counting is not available as per requirement of clause 1.8, Universal Carbon Registry Program Manual (Ver 4.0) August 2022.				
Project Owner's response				**Date:** 30/03/2024
The Double accounting agreement is provided.				
Documentation provided by Project Owner				
Double Accounting Agreement				
UCR Project Verifier assessment				**Date:** 01/04/2024
Double accounting agreement is checked and found to be conforming as per clause 1.8, Universal Carbon Registry Program Manual (Ver 4.0) August 2022, hence CL 01 is closed.				

Table 2. CARs from this Project Verification

CAR ID	01	**Section no.:** 3.1	Identification and eligibility of project type	**Date:** 28/03/2024
Description of CAR				
In section A.1.2 of MR ver.1 dated 22/03/2024, manufacturer and suppliers details of wind turbines in project activity is not written correctly as per requirement of UCR CoU standard Ver.6 (page no. 8 to 10).				
Project Owner's response				Date: 30/03/2024
The details of manufacturer and supplier of wind turbines and its components has provided and also corrected MR is provided.				
Documentation provided by Project Owner				
MR Version 2.0 dated 30/03/2024				
UCR Project Verifier assessment				Date: 01/04/2024
The corrected MR Ver. 2.0 was verified and the corrections related to manufacturer and suppliers details has been verified. Hence, CAR 01 is closed.				

CAR ID	02	**Section no.:** 3.3.5	Estimation of emission reductions or net anthropogenic removal	**Date:** 28/03/2024
Description of CAR				
In section C.5.1 of MR dated 22/03/2024 and Emission Reduction sheet, CoUs generation data is not calculated as per requirements of the UCR CoU standard Ver.6 (page no. 8 to 10) & Clause 1.5.6 of the Universal Carbon Registry Program Manual (Ver 4.0) August 2022.				
Project Owner's response				Date: 30/03/2024
The round down of the Emission reduction in Emission reduction sheet is corrected as per requirement and also same corrections has been implemented in MR Version 2.0.				
Documentation provided by Project Owner				
MR Version 2.0 & Emission Reduction sheet Version 2.0 dated 30/03/2024				
UCR Project Verifier assessment				Date: 01/04/2024
The round down of the emission reduction value has been checked in MR Version 2.0 and Emission reduction sheet Version 2.0 and is as per the UCR manual (Ver.4.0). Hence, CAR 02 is closed.				

CAR ID	03	Section no.: 3.4	Start date, crediting period and duration	Date: 28/03/2024
Description of CAR				
In section C.9 of MR dated 22/03/2024, the duration of the crediting period is not mentioned correctly as per the requirements of the UCR standard Ver. 6 (pg. no. 7)				
Project Owner's response				Date: 30/03/2024
The crediting period in MR Version 2.0 is corrected as 08 years 4 months and MR Version 2.0 is provided.				
Documentation provided by Project Owner				
MR Version 2.0 dated 30/03/2024				
UCR Project Verifier assessment				Date: 01/04/2024
The corrected MR Ver. 2.0 was verified and the corrections related to crediting period has been verified. Hence, CAR 04 is closed.				

CAR ID	04	Section no.: 3.5	Environmental impacts and safeguard assessment	Date: 28/03/2024
Description of CAR				
In section B.2 of MR dated 22/03/2024, the capacity of the WTG involved in project activity is not mentioned correctly as per the requirement of the UCR CoU Standard Ver. 6 (pg. no. 5)				
Project Owner's response				Date: 30/03/2024
The capacity of the WTG as 800 kW in section B.2 of the MR Version 1.0 has been corrected in MR Version 2.0.				
Documentation provided by Project Owner				
MR Version 2.0 dated 30/03/2024				
UCR Project Verifier assessment				Date: 01/04/2024
The corrected MR Ver. 2.0 was verified and the corrections related to capacity of the wind power project has been verified. Hence, CAR 03 is closed.				

Table 3. FARs from this Project Verification

FAR ID	--	Section no.		Date:
Description of FAR				
Project Owner's response				Date:
Documentation provided by Project Owner				

Photographs of the Remote site visit conducted on 25/04/2024